

ANTERIOR ADVANTAGE™

MATTA METHOD™



Help your patients get back sooner with the Anterior Approach

Total hip arthroplasty (THA) is a clinically and cost-effective procedure with patients typically experiencing meaningful improvements in function and pain relief.¹ The shift towards value-based healthcare and the need to deliver better care in a financially constrained environment means healthcare providers are increasingly looking for ways to improve outcomes and the cost effectiveness of procedures.

The Anterior Approach is a surgical approach which allows surgeons to work between the muscles and tissues without the need to release any muscles or tendons from the pelvis or femur. An increasing body of evidence suggests Anterior Approach supports faster recovery,^{1,2} improvements in short term outcomes^{1,3} and reductions in the total cost of care,^{4,5,8} whilst also showing equivalent mid-term survivorship verses other approaches.^{6,7}

ANTERIOR ADVANTAGE™ MATTA METHOD™ Hip Replacement is a differentiated solution for Anterior Approach, inclusive of DePuy Synthes hip implant products, intra-operative image check, use of Hana™ or other orthopaedic table, and world class professional education. These are designed to help decrease the learning curve, increase OR efficiencies and surgical reproducibility, with the goal of better patient outcomes. The ANTERIOR ADVANTAGE™ MATTA METHOD™ Hip Replacement combines the clinical benefits of Anterior Approach with additional benefits and demonstrated cost savings.^{4,5,8 *}

DELIVERING VALUE TO PATIENTS, SURGEONS AND HOSPITALS

IMPROVED SHORT-TERM OUTCOMES AND FASTER RECOVERY

30%

Shorter Hospital Stay⁵

35%

Lower rate of dislocation³

18%

More patients discharged home⁵

50%

Fewer narcotics after THA compared to posterior and lateral cohorts⁴

16%

Lower pain severity at two-week follow-up (2.7 vs 5.2, p<0.0001) compared to posterior approach patients⁹

FASTER RECOVERY

Subjects walked farther post-operatively, and on days 2 and 3, compared to posterior approach patients.¹⁰

*Based on 5% improvement in post-operative Harris hip score¹

ANTERIOR ADVANTAGE™ HIP REPLACEMENT
CLINICAL RESULTS

EQUIVALENT 5-YEAR SURVIVORSHIP

Registry data from Australia and Norway demonstrate no statistical difference in survivorship rates between Anterior Approach and posterior approach at 3 and 5 year follow up respectively.^{6,7}

REGISTRY DATA

REDUCED TOTAL COST OF CARE

\$6,200 cost savings per patients with ANTERIOR ADVANTAGE™ MATTA METHOD™ Hip Replacement compared to the cohort of all other THA.^{8 **}

HOSPITAL COSTS

ANTERIOR ADVANTAGE™
MATTA METHOD™




DePuy Synthes

PART OF THE **Johnson & Johnson** FAMILY OF COMPANIES

People inspired™

References

1. Miller LE, Gondusky JS, Bhattacharyya S, Kamath AF, Boettner F, Wright J. Does Surgical Approach Affect Outcomes in Total Hip Arthroplasty Through 90 Days Follow-up? A Systematic Review with Meta-analysis. *The Journal of arthroplasty*. 2017 Nov 14.
2. Miller LE, Kamath AF, Boettner F, Bhattacharyya SK. In-hospital outcomes with anterior versus posterior approaches in total hip arthroplasty: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Journal of Pain Research*. 2018 Jan 1;11:1327-34.
3. Miller LE, Gondusky JS, Kamath AF, Boettner F, Wright J, Bhattacharyya S. Influence of surgical approach on complication risk in primary total hip arthroplasty: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta orthopaedica*. 2018 May 4;89(3):289-94.
4. Petis SM, Howard JL, Lanting BA, Marsh JD, Vasarhelyi EM. In-hospital cost analysis of total hip arthroplasty: does surgical approach matter?. *The Journal of arthroplasty*. 2016 Jan 1;31(1):53-8.
5. Kamath AF, Chitnis AS, Holy C, Lerner J, Curtin B, Lochow S, DeCook C, Matta JM. Medical resource utilization and costs for total hip arthroplasty: benchmarking an anterior approach technique in the Medicare population. *Journal of medical economics*. 2018 Feb 1;21(2):218-24.
6. Australian Orthopaedic Association National Joint Replacement Registry (AOANJRR), Ad hoc Report, ID No.2595 for Johnson & Johnson Medical, Corail Pinnacle Prosthesis Total Conventional Hip, (Procedures from 1 September 1999 – 27 September 2018), Accessed 28 September 2018, AOA, Adelaide: 1-13.



7. Mjaaland KE, Svenningsen S, Fenstad AM, Havelin LI, Furnes O, Nordsle. Implant survival after minimally invasive anterior or anterolateral vs. conventional posterior or direct lateral approach: an analysis of 21,860 total hip arthroplasties from the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register (2008 to 2013). *JBJS*. 2017 May 17;99(10):840-7.
 8. Miller LE, Martinson MS, Gondusky JS, Kamath AF, Boettner F, Bhattacharyya SK. Ninety-day postoperative cost in primary total hip arthroplasty: an economic model comparing surgical approaches. *ClinicoEconomics and Outcomes Research*. 2019; 11: 145-149.
 9. Zawadsky MW, et al. "Early Outcome Comparison Between the Direct Anterior Approach and the Mini-Incision Posterior Approach for Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty: 150 Consecutive Cases." *The Journal of Arthroplasty* 2014; (29): 1256-1260
 10. Barrett WP, et al. "Prospective Randomized Study of Direct Anterior vs Postero-Lateral Approach for Total Hip Arthroplasty." *The Journal of Arthroplasty* 2013; (28): 1634-1638
- * Costs based on US and CA data. Might not equally apply to EMEA healthcare markets.
- ** Costs based on US (Medicare) data. Might not equally apply to EMEA healthcare markets

MATTA METHOD™ is a trademark of Joel Matta, M.D., Inc.
The third-party trademarks used herein are the trademarks of their respective owners.



DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc.
700 Orthopaedic Drive
Warsaw, IN 46582
USA
Tel: +1 (800) 366 8143
Fax: +1 (800) 669 2530

DePuy International Ltd
St Anthony's Road
Leeds LS11 8DT
England
Tel: +44 (0)113 270 0461

DePuy (Ireland)
Loughbeg
Ringaskiddy
Co. Cork
Ireland
Tel: +353 21 4914 000
Fax: +353 21 4914 199

depuysynthes.com