

# Summary

## ATRIAL FLUTTER-RELATED HEALTHCARE USE AND COSTS: AN ANALYSIS OF A NATIONALLY REPRESENTATIVE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS DATABASE IN THE UNITED STATES

Abhishek Deshmukh, MD, Maximiliano Iglesias, MBA, Rahul  
Khanna, MBA, PhD, Tara Beaulieu, MIPH, PhD

Heart Rhythm O2 (2023).  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hroo.2023.04.003>

## STUDY OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to evaluate the healthcare utilization and cost burden for newly diagnosed Atrial Flutter (AFL) patients in the United States (US).

## METHODOLOGY

**Database:** 2017–2020 Optum Clinformatics database

**Source:** A nationally-representative claims database including commercially insured & Medicare Advantage patients throughout the US.

**Population:** Patients with an incident diagnosis of AFL were identified (n=13,270). To examine the incremental burden of AFL, a comparator cohort of non-AFL patients (n=13,683) were also identified.

**Analysis:** Using a matching weights method, the two study cohorts (AFL and non-AFL) were matched on demographic and comorbid characteristics including Elixhauser comorbidity and CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc scores. Logistic regression models were used to assess services and general linear models were used to determine cost difference among the matched study cohorts.

**Outcomes:** 12-month all-cause and cardiovascular (CV)-related healthcare utilization (inpatient, outpatient, emergency room [ER], and other visits) were assessed and compared among the matched cohort of AFL and non-AFL patients. Total healthcare costs (including medical services use and prescriptions) were also compared among the two cohorts.

## RESULTS

Patients with incident AFL had significantly higher 12-month healthcare utilization in comparison to patients without AFL:



**2.3X**

**INCREASED RISK  
OF ALL-CAUSE  
INPATIENT VISITS**

(RR 2.26; 95% CI: 2.19-2.33; p<0.001)



**↑14%**

**HIGHER RISK  
OF ALL-CAUSE  
ER VISITS**

(RR 1.14; 95% CI: 1.11-1.18; p<0.001)



**3.3X**

**INCREASED RISK  
OF CV-RELATED  
INPATIENT VISITS**

(RR 3.27; 95% CI: 3.15-3.39; p<0.001)



**↑60%**

**HIGHER RISK  
OF CV-RELATED  
ER VISITS**

(RR 1.60; 95% CI: 1.52-1.70; p<0.001)

Significantly higher healthcare use of medical services among AFL patients resulted in a higher mean total healthcare cost compared to those without AFL.

**\$71,201**

**AFL PATIENT PER  
YEAR**

**vs**

**\$49,418**

**NON-AFL PATIENT  
PER YEAR**

**↑\$21,783**

**HIGHER COST FOR AN AFL  
PATIENT PER YEAR**

## KEY TAKEAWAYS

- Significantly **higher 12-month all-cause and CV-related medical visits were seen among patients with AFL** compared to patients without AFL.
- **Total healthcare costs were \$21,783 higher among AFL patients** versus non-AFL patients, reflecting the considerable cost burden of this common arrhythmia.
- Timely treatment and intervention for AFL patients is critical in addressing the clinical and financial burden caused by AFL.

## LIMITATIONS

- Indirect medical or non-medical costs associated with AFL were not assessed, so the incremental costs may underestimate the true economic burden associated with AFL.
- The Optum database represents US commercial claims patients and Medicare Advantage patients; therefore, study results may not be generalizable to all elderly and non-commercial pay patients.
- To mitigate the potential for false-positive diagnosis, inclusion criteria included only patients with at least 2 AFL diagnoses within a 3-month window. This approach may result in a cohort which consists of patients with higher frequency of healthcare utilization. When re-running the analysis with only one AFL diagnosis the results were consistent with those from the main analysis. A sub-analysis where patients with AFL with concomitant diagnosis of AFib were excluded led to similar results.

Scan the QR code for additional resources:

